

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (Currently Amended): A content scanning system for electronic documents such as emails comprising:

a) a link analyser operative to identify hyperlinks in the content of electronic documents;

b) a hyperlink replacer operative to modify the electronic documents by replacing hyperlinks to external objects identified by the link analyser by new hyperlinks to respective targets on a trusted server; and

c) ~~b)~~ an object server operative on receipt of a request to retrieve an external object from one of said targets on the trusted server to cause processing means for causing a content scanner to scan the external object referenced by the hyperlink which was replaced by the new hyperlink to said one of said targets ~~objects referenced by hyperlinks identified by the link analyser and to determine the acceptability of the object objects according to predefined rules, the object server processing means being operative, responsive to a hyperlink being to an external object external to the document which object is determined by the content scanner to be acceptable, to retrieve the external object and supply it in response to the request store the retrieved external object on a trusted server, the object server processing means being operative, responsive to a hyperlink being to an external object external to the document which is determined by the content scanner to be unacceptable, to perform remedial action; and~~

~~(e) — hyperlink replacing means for modifying electronic documents by replacing the hyperlink to an external object identified by the link analyser by a hyperlink to the copy of the external object stored on the a trusted server.~~

Claim 2 (Currently Amended): A system according to claim 1 wherein the link analyser and the object server ~~processing means~~ are operative to recursively process hyperlinks identified in ~~such~~ external objects.

Claim 3 (Previously Presented): A system according to claim 2 in which only a maximum depth of recursion is permitted and the document is flagged as unacceptable if that limit is reached.

Claim 4 (Previously Presented): A system according to claim 1 wherein the remedial action comprises one or more of:

flagging or modifying the document to indicate that the content scanner has found the object to be unacceptable;

modifying the document by replacing the hyperlink by a non-functional hyperlink; and
quarantining the document and sending the intended recipient an alert advising the recipient that this has been done.

Claim 5 (Currently Amended): A method of content-scanning electronic documents such as emails comprising:

a) using a link analyser to identify hyperlinks in the content of electronic documents;
b) modifying the electronic documents by replacing hyperlinks to external objects identified by the link analyser by new hyperlinks to respective targets on a trusted server;

c) ~~b)~~ responsive to a request to retrieve an external object from one of said targets on the trusted server, using a content scanner to scan the external object referenced by the hyperlink which was replaced by the new hyperlink to one of said targets ~~objects referenced by hyperlinks identified by the link analyser~~ and to determine the acceptability of the objects according to predefined rules;

d) ~~e)~~ responsive to a hyperlink being to an external object external to the document which object is determined by the content scanner to be acceptable, retrieving the external object and supplying it in response to the request ~~storing the retrieved external object on a trusted server; and~~

~~e) d)~~ responsive to a hyperlink being to an external object ~~external to the document~~ which ~~object~~ is determined by the content scanner to be unacceptable, performing remedial action;~~and~~

~~e) — modifying electronic documents by replacing the hyperlink to an external object by a hyperlink to the copy of the external object stored on the trusted server.~~

Claim 6 (Currently Amended): A method according to claim 5 wherein the steps a) through e) ~~and b)~~ are used recursively to process hyperlinks identified in ~~such~~ external objects.

Claim 7 (Original): A method according to claim 6 in which only a maximum depth of recursion is permitted and the document is flagged as unacceptable if that limit is reached.

Claim 8 (Previously Presented): A method according to claim 5, wherein the remedial action comprises one or more of:

flagging or modifying the document to indicate that the content scanner has found the object to be unacceptable;

modifying the document by replacing the hyperlink by a non-functional hyperlink; and
quarantining the document and sending the intended recipient an alert advising the recipient that this has been done.

Claims 9-12 (Canceled).

Claim 13 (New): A system according to claim 1, wherein the object server is operative, responsive to a hyperlink being to an external object external to the document which object is determined by the content scanner to be acceptable, to retrieve the external object, to store it on the trusted server and to supply it in response to the request.

Claim 14 (New): A method according to claim 5, wherein the step of retrieving the external object and supplying it in response to the request, responsive to a hyperlink being to an

external object which is determined by the content scanner to be acceptable, further comprises storing the retrieved object on the trusted scanner.

Claim 15 (New): A method of content-scanning electronic documents comprising:

- a) identifying first hyperlinks in contents of electronic documents;
- b) modifying the electronic documents by replacing the identified first hyperlinks with different second hyperlinks which point to a trusted server;
- c) storing data to relate the second hyperlinks to the first hyperlinks;
- d) in response to a request received by the trusted server when one of the second hyperlinks is selected, using the stored data to determine the first hyperlink corresponding to the selected second hyperlink and retrieving an object using the determined first hyperlink;
- e) scanning the retrieved object in accordance with specified rules;
- f) making the retrieved object available in response to the received request if the retrieved object is determined to be acceptable in accordance with the specified rules; and
- g) performing remedial action if the retrieved object is not determined to be acceptable in accordance with the specified rules.

Claim 16 (New): The method according to claim 15, further comprising:
generating the second hyperlinks based on one or more a name of the trusted server, a time, a process identifier, a count that increments for each second hyperlink and a random number.

Claim 17 (New): An e-mail gateway comprising a trusted server configured to scan e-mail, the trusted server programmed to perform operations comprising:

- a) identifying first hyperlinks in contents of e-mails;
- b) modifying the e-mails by replacing the identified first hyperlinks with different second hyperlinks which point to a trusted server;
- c) storing data that relates the second hyperlinks to the first hyperlinks;

- d) in response to a request received by the trusted server when one of the second hyperlinks is selected, using the stored data to determine the first hyperlink corresponding to the selected second hyperlink and retrieving an object using the determined first hyperlink;
- e) scanning the retrieved object in accordance with specified rules;
- f) making the retrieved object available in response to the received request if the retrieved object is determined to be acceptable in accordance with the specified rules; and
- g) performing remedial action if the retrieved object is not determined to be acceptable in accordance with the specified rules.